UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,972	03/30/2004	Chris Lee	9450-13DV	8626
	7590 09/24/200 ic Neuromodulation (S		EXAM	INER
c/o Darby & Da	& Darby P.C.		PETER	
P.O. Box 770 Church Street S	tation		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10008-0770		3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/814,972	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter Luong	3737			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ju</u>	lv 2009				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits	s is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E.			7 10		
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,20,22,24-28,31-36,38,45 and 46 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18, 20, 22, 24-28, 31-36, 38, and 45 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			` '		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Art Unit: 3737

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/13/2009 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9-12, 16-18, 20, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McKinnon (US 5,792,055).
- 4. With respect to claims 1-4, 9-12, 16-18, 20, and 26 the patent of McKinnon discloses a MRI guidewire (abstract) comprising an inner conductor (13, i.e. center conductor), an outer conductor (15), a distal end sized and shaped for insertion into a subject to receive MRI signals (fig 1), a proximal end sized and shaped for insertion into a connector (col. 4, lines 54-65; see fig 1, it is inherent for a connection means to connect the guidewire to the control station), an insulated area between the outer and inner conductor (14), and the guidewire is connected to the MRI scanner and MRI

Art Unit: 3737

circuits (fig. 1). With respect to the inner and outer conductor contacts, the Examiner interprets the surface of the inner and outer conductors to be the contacts as any conductive material touching the surface of the conductor would be electrically coupled to the conductor. McKinnon discloses the inner conductor extending beyond the outer conductor (col. 4, ln. 57-65, col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 27; figs. 1-3). With respect to claim 16, the Examiner notes that any material can be made sterilizable. With respect to claim 22, McKinnon discloses an extension attachment at the proximal end of the guidewire (col. 5, ln. 7-9).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3737

7. Claims 25, 33, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinnon (US 5,792,055).

- 8. With respect to claim 25, McKinnon discloses the subject matter substantially as claimed except for wherein the inner conductor contact and the inner conductor define a diameter that is greater than a diameter of the inner conductor extending along the outer conductor. However, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to change the size of the diameter of the inner/outer conductors and contacts as the change in size is well within the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 9. With respect to claim 38, the Examiner notes that any device can be disposed of after a single-use.
- 10. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinnon (US 5,792,055) as applied to claim 1, further in view of Glowinski et al. (US 5,868,674).
- 11. The patent of McKinnon discloses the subject matter substantially as claimed except for wherein the guidewire diameter is between about 0.012 inches and 0.038 inches and an inner conductor diameter to be between about 0.004 inches and about 0.012 inches.
- 12. However, Glowinski et al. teaches a MRI catheter with a diameter between 0.3 mm (0.0118 inches) to 3 mm (0.118 inches) for insertion into a patient (fig. 1).
- 13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the guidewire diameter to be about 0.012 inches and 0.038 inches as a change in size is within the skill level of one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3737

skill in the art. Furthermore, it would have been obvious for the inner conductor to be smaller than the guidewire.

- 14. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinnon (US 5,792,055) as applied to claim 1, further in view of Lardo et al. (US 6,675,033).
- 15. With respect to claims 13-15, the patent of McKinnon discloses the subject matter substantially as claimed except for wherein the guidewire comprises titanium or nitinol.
- 16. However, Lardo et al. teaches a MRI guidewire probe comprising known superelastic material comprising titanium and nitinol (col. 9, lines 25-50).
- 17. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the super-elastic material as taught by Lardo et al. those materials are known for their high biocompatibility (col. 9, lines 32-35).
- 18. Claims 22, 24, 27-28, 31, 34-36, and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinnon (US 5,792,055) in view of Lardo et al. (US 6,675,033).
- 19. With respect to claims 22, 27-28, 31, 34-36, and 45, the patent of McKinnon discloses a MRI guidewire (abstract) comprising an inner conductor (13, i.e. center conductor), an outer conductor (15), a distal end sized and shaped for insertion into a subject to receive MRI signals (fig 1), a proximal end sized and shaped for insertion into a connector (col. 4, lines 54-65; see fig 1, it is inherent for a connection means to connect the guidewire to the control station), an insulated area between the outer and

Application/Control Number: 10/814,972

Art Unit: 3737

inner conductor (14), and the guidewire is connected to the MRI scanner and MRI circuits (fig. 1). With respect to the inner and outer conductor contacts, the Examiner interprets the surface of the inner and outer conductors to be the contacts as any conductive material touching the surface of the conductor would be electrically coupled to the conductor. McKinnon discloses the inner conductor extending beyond the outer conductor (col. 4, ln. 57-65). (col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 27; figs. 1-3). With respect to claim 22, McKinnon discloses an extension attachment at the proximal end of the guidewire (col. 5, ln. 7-9).

Page 6

- 20. McKinnon does not teach a connector, however, Lardo et al. teaches MRI guidewires releasably attachable to connectors. Lardo et al. teaches wherein al the components are non-magnetic (col. 14, lines 57-58). Lardo et al. teaches various sizes and shapes of the connectors (see figures). Lardo et al. teaches an interfacing circuit (fig. 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided McKinnon with connectors as taught by Lardo et al. in order facilitate connecting to interface circuitry to be connected to the MRI scanner (col. 11, lines 4-6).
- 21. With respect to claim 24, McKinnon discloses the subject matter substantially as claimed except for wherein the connector includes a wiper to inhibit the introduction of fluids into the connector. However, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the connections between the guidewire and electronic circuitry with seals to prevent fluid contact as the intended use of a guidewire is to be inserted into a patient.

Art Unit: 3737

22. With respect to claim 46, McKinnon discloses the subject matter substantially as claimed except for wherein the inner conductor contact and the inner conductor define a diameter that is greater than a diameter of the inner conductor extending along the outer conductor. However, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to change the size of the diameter of the inner/outer conductors and contacts as the change in size is well within the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art.

- 23. Claims 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinnon (US 5,792,055) in view of Lardo et al. (US 6,675,033) as applied to claim 45, further in view of Wiener et al. (US 7,273,483).
- 24. McKinnon discloses the subject matter substantially as claimed except for a guidewire sensor. However, Wiener et al. teaches in medical devices in which comprises releasable components for handpiece, blades, and shears to have unique identification numbers registered and stored in memory. Wiener et al. teaches the ID allows the system to acknowledge their compatibility and usability of each individual piece. (col. 13, lines 24-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided McKinnon with the unique ID system of Wiener et al. in order to allow the system to acknowledge the compatibility and useability of each component of guidwire and connector.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18, 20, 22, 24-28, 31-36, 38, and 45-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3737

Applicant argues McKinnon does not teach the inner conductor extends beyond the outer conductor at the proximal end. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. McKinnon discloses the outer conductor and insulator may be removed to the proximal end of the guidewire (col. 5, lines 10-16). Applicant further argues McKinnon does not teach wherein the extended section of the inner conductor includes an insulated area interposed axially between an outer conductor contact and inner conductor contact. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant and directs applicant to Figure 3 in which insulator 140 is disposed between the inner conductor 130 and outer conductor 150.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Luong whose telephone number is (571)270-1609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 a.m. - 6:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737

/P. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3737